Or, some

REFLECTIONS Upon a DISCOURSE

Called

Omnia à Belo comesta.

Some Animadwerfions from the NORTH,
upon the Letter out of the WEST.

Correcting some Mistakes, and aiming at a more full and certain Discovery of the Causes of the present great want of Money in the Trading Stock of the Nation.

Exod. 10. 7, 8,

And Pharaohs servants said unto him, How long shall this man be a snare unto m? Knowest thou not yet that Egypt is destroyed?

and Moses and Aaron were brought again unto Pharaoh, and he said unto them, Go serve the Lord your God.

London, Printed, 1668.

Or forie

WELLECTIONS

DISCOURSE

bs la

Omnia d' belo come la

Containing

Some Ammadver som frem the NORTH.

Correcting ome Miffeler, and siming at at roots full and certain Decemps of the Caulis of the decemp, great want of Money in the Trading stack of the Nation

.8 7.1016 15

and Pha 20hs sevents find auto him, then long had this man be a source unto us? Knowest then not get that Europe of destroyed?



Author bets lelde and couldby add lomerning by w



Remember the last time I had the happines to fee you, you told me of a Pamphlet, called. Onnia a Belo cometta, which fince I have feen, and having diligently peruled it, I must confels. I like not much in it, more than the general scope of it, which feemeth to be generous and honest, aiming at a discovery of the

respectively and reverse les to the experience

Causes of the present general Consumption (as he well enough)

calls it 1 of our Budy Politick.

That England (from its chearly look which it had 1660, upon his Majesties, restauration.) is suddenly fallen into such a confumption as he locaks of, is too too fadly apparent, befides the confilmpsion of our flesh; by the immediate hand of God in a dreadful Plague (beyond what hath been known amongst us in many ages I and more mediately by a destructive War, which hath flain its tenthousands, there is an evident consumption in our Purfer and Effates. How few are our Gentlemen, not deeply engaged in debt, and every day encrealing it? nor can it be otherwite, the Countryman is he who filleth their Puries by regular payment of their Rents: he can fell neither Corn nor Cattle. The Tradefman that should buy what he hath, shuts up his Shop, or tradeth very low, and keeps House accordingly: The Merchant cannot supply him with Money. The Countryman hath no price his Corn, nor yet much Corn; and we in the North as well as they in the

Well, find our Cattle will not yield us the third Penny as formerly: and whereas we haped the period fet to the War the last year, would have determined these miseries, we see the contra-sy, they rather increase upon us.

The general, immediate proximate cause of all this is evidently a went of Money, or (as that Author fitly enough calleth it) the decay of the Trading flock of the Nation, but whence that want ariseth may possibly deserve a fricter Enquiry, than that Gentleman hath made, and whether at last we must not sly to occult Causes ; and conclude it into the secret Curse of God upon the Nation for its fins : working in a way, which the wit of the wifelt man cannot discover, I do confess my self to be in some doubt. However, I shall give you my Animadversions, upon what that Author hath faid; and possibly add something by way of supplement of my own thoughts in this great affair; If I shall not hit the mark, yet it shall be faid,

- Magnis tamen excidit aufis

I lay down this for my Principle.

That next to our return, and reconciliation to God, the foundation of our Political proferity they in our preferving and augmenting of the Trading-flock in the Nation. Here I agree with the aforefaid Author, and add, That Stock by which the great Trades of the Nation, which are the Mounfactures from our Wool, are maintained.

We are heholden to the Nobility and Gentry of the Nation. The Government is in their hands, and they help to spend imported goods: The Clergy Preach and perform Religious Offices to the inhabitants of the Nation; but the Nation augments not its riches. either by the one or other of these. They bring in nothing, they prepare nothing for the Merchant to exchange to advantage: this the Tradiform doth chiefly, and that not every Tradefman, but those concerned in Woollen Menufactures principally. The Countryman hath his share; as he provideth Corn for Transportation, belides what serves the necessities of his Countrey : But it is (comparatively with the Tradesman) little wherewith he ferveth the Merchant. It is the Merchant and the Tradefinan who dealeth in those great Trades, that must augment our Tradingfock_

This

This Trading-flock being the Life-blood of our body Politick . if it doth appear, That it bath been, or is unduly exhausted : or. that it is not proportionably augmented, to the wast of it, which (in the use) cannot be avoided : Or if the Circulation of it be confiderably impeded and flopped. Or that it be diverted into fome particular Veins, where the circulation doth not fo well conduce to the maintaining, and nourishment of the whole body, or not of the more noble and principal parts, upon which the life of the body dependeth; I fay, in any of thefe cafes fuch a confumption must necessarily follow in the Body Politick, as would follow in the Natural Body, supposing the like causes. And whosoever shall be found, either so unduly exhausting it, or bindering the augmentation of it, or stopping the circulation of it, or diverting the circulation from those parts upon the maintaining of which our life depends, will (in all probability) be found unlucky causes of our mifery in this particular.

1. As to the First, It is most certain, that our Trading-Sock of Money is in the number of finite Beings, and may be exhausted and drawn out from us: and as if you draw out blood from the body natural faster than the Liver or other fanguisying parts supply, the body must waste: so we must consume if our Trading-stock be drawn out beyond the proportions we are supplied with But as in the Natural Body, that blood is not exhausted, which is but passed into another channel within the body; so neither in the Body Politick is that stock exhausted, which yet remains within the Seas, though possibly not in the same hands, as before times. But if our Money be in specie, carried out beyond the yearly proportions in which it is brought in, this is exhausted

whatever commodities be returned for it.

I do know this is severely Cautioned by the Laws of England, but yet I am sure our Neighbours of the Low Countries have thus drained away our Gold, to which our Neighbours have contributed, by setting a value upon that Mettal above what we set, and the covetous humour of too many English men have made us a prey to this bait.

Nor am I certain, that very much Silver is not every year thus lost to us, it might be worthy of our Statists enquiry, Whether many Commodities in other Countreys may not be had upon for bester terms for English Coin, than for wares: If they may (of which I am morally

(4)

to catry over Silver, and if this be practifed, and we have not confiderable incomes of Coin to ballance it, we must quickly be

poor enough.

To cure us therefore so far as our milery springs from this stift cause, may it not be humbly proposed to the Great Councels of the Nation, to deliberate whether it may not be worthy of their advice: Whether it were not sit, a new Life Bould be given to those antient and wise Astroso our fore sathers restraining the Exchange of Gold for Silver, at a greater rate, than by the Kings Proclamation shall be limited, or the melting of Gold and Silver into Plate, or carrying any beyond the Seas upon any pretence; and for taking Caution of Mirchants Strangers, that they shall not do it: and surther, Whether it might be prejudicial for the Counces to set a price of Gold in England from time to time, answering the Dutch Market, and whether we might not out-wit, or counter-wit our Neighbours by sinding out a way, to afford Commodities for Silver at better

rates than upon ordinary exchanges.

2. But although I think this one cause, yet I profess I judge it the least cause of the diminution of our Trading-flock? to procied then: As in the body natural, there is a daily necessary matte of blood and Spirits which must be daily supplied, or the body perish; So our Trading tock cannot be used without some daily waste and loss, which requireth a daily repair, or elfe we shall that way by degrees be exhau'ted. Our fore-fathers were aware of this, which caused our Mint, which though it differed from a Mine for Coin, yet had she nature of a Mil, and paid us Toll of Gold and Silver fent to ils to be Minted; What Grift of late hath been brought to our Mill. I cannot tell. I presume not in proportion as formerly. If this faileth, some equivalent course must be forreign Merchants, trading with Doller, better gainers than trading with Wines, Silks &c. or if we could reftrain the making or using of Gold or Silver Thread at he ma, and buy all we use from abroad, it might by degrees do fomething as to this. For befides the necessary walte made in the daily use of our Tradingflack which is unavoidable, pollibly it will be found upon enquiry, that within thele leven years, there hath been a great recediels walte made. 1. By excessive use of Silver Thread, and this

of gold, for cloabs or laces. 2. By an excess of Silver-plate. The former, though it may appear of minute confideration, yet possibly it is not to; and I am fure in a Parliamentary Convention in the late disturbed times, a Bill for restraint of making such Thread and Lace in England was judged not unworthy of their deliberation. For the latter, besides that we may find former Parliaments by their Acts restrained melting of Coin into Plate, he who shall consider with himself how much the Plate of the Nation hath within seven years last been encreased, must needs know, that many hundred thousand pounds of Coin is taken out of the Trading-flock, and so disposed; for I doubt we have not had Ore enough come over to make it. And doubtless this must be affigned as one cause, why during our Civil Wars, there was never such a want of mony found in the Trading-flock as now. though great Armies were paid, &c. because the melting down. of so much Plate into Coin prepared the Nation to lend so much' out of its moving Stock. But neither is this the whole cause, nor indeed any at all, if it appear to our State that there hath been a proportionable quantity of Silver or Gold brought in, for what hath thus been taken out.

3. I am therefore apt to think, That the great cause is some errour in the circulation of our Stock. If we could suppose that the natural body had no blood exhausted, nor any spirits or blood wasted, but have within it a due Stock for its uses; yet it it keeps not its due course and circulation, conveying spirits and nourishment to the several parts of the body; or if we could imagine an undue and irregular circulation, so that the principal parts should be abridged of their due proportion, or not served with it in due time, a consumption of the whole or in part must necessarily sollow. It is just so in the body Politick. Morn is the life of it, (that mony which runs in trade I mean) and especially that which runs in upholding the main and prin-

cipal trades of the Nation

Now this Body must needs consume,

1. If either the circulation of the trading Stock be flopt : Or,

2. If the motion of it be irregular, so as it serveth not the principal Trades of the Nation: Or,

3. Though pe last it stroeth them, if it doth not do it in a

due time.

And whatfoever or whofoever are the cause of any of these,

doubrlefs are no mean causes of our great evil.

1. Ifav. full, if the Circulation of the Trading-flock be flops, that if any confiderable fains of mony, used to move and walk in trading, are laid by to looken, or as a provision for an evil time. which they fear, &c. But in good truth I think thefe are very few in England, (ir may be a few old women) or fome who understand the severity of former or some late Laws, and may prov phecie evil to themselves. The Their must not be counted into this number, his mony walks, though apon other less, either firving the Tradelman of the Gentleman, for preparing commodities to export, or to buy up what is imported for his

expences.

2. I am apt to believe the irregular motion and circulation of our Trading-flock to be our greatest evil. To judge of which we must confider what the Principal Members of our Body Politick are. though nor as to Honour, Rule and Dignity, yet (which is our concern in this discourse) as to action and improvement of our Trading flock for publick good. These without question are the Merchant and the Tradesman; these are they who make and prepare, and then transport and exchange our commodities; whether they come from our Minerals, as Lead Iron; or from our Field, as Corn and Cattles especially from our Sheep, which are Englands Mines and Golden-fleeces. Our Metalls and Corn are nor comparable to our Cloaths, and Smiffs, and Swelings of all forts. Our Corn is more uncertain, and depends not only on a good Harvelt here, but an ill one in other parts; which when it har pens, it is often made up by a plenty from the Diff Comery. So that in truth, to make mony plentiful in England, the Seas must be open for the Merchant always, and he must have mony to fupply the Tradefman, who needs it to pay his inferiour fervants, and work-men, and women; who when they have in heely part with it, to the Country-in n for Com, to the Batcher for Meat, &c. the Butcher returns it to the Country min, he to the Gentleman, and the Grocers, &c. The Gentleman to fuch as immediately deal with the Merchant, who returns in to the Merchant again. Let the circulation of Mony be never founck, if it be not in these principal veins, the riches of the Mation must and durtime_ will confume.

Yea, and if we ever grow rich, the Trading-flock must return in time too! It is true here While the groff grows, the freed flarves. A flow return of a Trading flock beggers us apace: this they will easily understand, who know how many there are in England, who meerly live upon Time gained in buying and felling, betwixt the thing bought and paid for. Thele, if the pulle of the return of the Trading flock beats unevenly, are immediately undone, and they feldom fall alone. I do therefore conceive that in order to the preservation and augmentation of the

Trading-flock, it is worth the enquiry.

1. W betber we have not a greater excell of fome kind of forreign commodities brought in than in former times, which if neither in whole nor part bought with corn in specie, yet are not bought with such commodities from England, as are she main and staple commodities, spon the making and vending of which England most lives.

And whether by some be-trading and expense of mony, the Trading-stock be not, though not imbersed, nor woolly bindred from circularion, yet to hindred in the true and noble circulation ofit, that it comes not in time to relieve the better trading part of the Nation, and to to carry on our main work.

Now, Sie, having laid this foundation of discourse, let me examine what of the aforementioned discourse in the Letter from the

North to the West, is applicable to it.

COLES

That Author layeth all to the charge of the Arebbifhops, Biflops, Deans and Chapters, with the leveral Officials and Officers belonging to their Courts and Cathedrale; he counts up their number, and values their bread, how much probably they must have to live upon: be rates their standing revenues, and guesteth at the annual furms of their Perquifites and Fees: He reckons their number 10000, their revenue 450000 per annum, he counts 200000 per annum as little as can maintain them. Belides this, he tells us they get infinite fums yearly by Ordinations, Inftitutions, and Inductions, giving Oather, granting Licences, Abjointions, Communications of Penance, Visitations, He remembers what great fums they got by Fines at their Restaurations. Much of this is doubtless true, (whether their standing Revenues be so much or no, I know not,) But yet I must protes, I do not think he harh the the mark. That they do help to impoverish the Nation, by prejudicing the Trading-Rock, I do believe, but how, may

has he hattlemade? The lift and they find a state of they find a state of the state rie if they hinder TO THE ARE CHAMP who means the moon rone

As to the expending of it, they cannot I think, be well charged with it they carry meither also nor gold out of the

2. Not do Y think them Chregory degree with others) culpable of the anneally was well by Excelling quantities of

It must therefore he upon the third thing, causing some errous in the circulation. Three fisch errors were alligated,

The first was stopping the Creation of the Stock which we have. This must be by steeping think of mony dead is seen, I know the great advantages the bilibil had upon their first refloring to their Temporalities, by caking Finer hath given a great occasion to some thus to think; but I must profess my less not jo believe it. One of their who had the greatest summers of this nature protested, that what they rook was incominerable for the expense they had to make windling Phinos. Charles Chapelle, Called at a which in the late time were detaced. I have often feeretly wished, that our State would put themselves to the trouble of requiring a write account of all fluins to taken, at first, or the annual Revenues of all the Offices, and also of their disportements. Court of their own puries. For flich repairs as are pretended, that to it might be known what they were at him and see full beholden to his Marriy for and peoples mouthes may be happ d.

Bur to let this pais, (as heterogeneous to our discourse) the funs they at first received were doubtlets very great, but supspote them what they can be, indeed they might at hell retard the
circulation of the Trading flock for a gime; but if these flintings
were not locked up in chests and kept in a bank, though the
paying of them might for a time dog the wheels of trade, yet
how it should waste the Trading took I cambo rell. These summes
were again paid out to Masons, Camputers, Vinteers, Orocers, and
are long lince from one hand or other paid into the hand of he Merchant. And the like may be faid, as to their yearly re-

ceits. I do not fee how hirculation (on their parts) could politibly be this way floor, miles Bank be proved. As for the fabordinate Officers. I neither believe they have Banks, nor much Plan. For their Proffers, Registers, Choreffers, Vergers, Apparitors, Singers, Goffellers, Epiftlers, Canons, it were well if they had but good cloathes to their backs, or durit walk without Protessions. But ver I do think fome of thele Officers are a very great cause to flop the enculation of a great part of the Traking flock; and the Bilhops too, as they are the heads to those Courts and Officers who do it. To make this out.

r. It cannot be denied but those Trades which are exercised in the Manufactures of our Woods, are those Trades by which England grows wealthy. The poor employed, the rich Merchant furnished with commodities fit for advancageous Adventures, &c. Others

do formething, but nothing in comparison of their.

2. It is as demonstrable that throughour all the Nation, East, Weft, North and South, the richeft, most active, industrious, whriving part of these Tradeliner are fuch persons as are least satisfied in the present Kellmons performances of the Mation, and such as this age calls Paparity, most difaffected to the Common Brayer, to the pretent Clergy, and most devoted to those Ministers that are at present filenced, and to consequently most liable to Ecclifi-

3. It is as certain, that generally they are no fools, but moderfland well enough the danger they are in being once excommunicated, that their Excommengement (as the Law calls it) shall be pleaded in Bar to them, pursuing their common right in Law for their just debes, and laying them open to Signification, and Writs De excimmentate espirals, and Capitas's upon them in infinites. They know this, and they have daily prelidents to confirm their knowledge, they ever and anon lee forme or other dripped to Gaol, dec. It is true, the Judges in the Eccleliation cours are too wife either to excommunicate all that are obknow that were the way both to impoveriff themselves, and to seed the the Nation a necessity of building up new Gable to receive their priloners, (for they find that very lew committed by their means to prison, are convinced by it of any error.) But the wifer fort of these men understand well enough how much they

He at their mercie, and that if their Stock be once out in trading, and an Excommodession overtake them before it be got in, they thall be barred from their native right to recover it. Hence the generality of them either make over their situres, and throw up their trade to if they do any thing, it is as little as they can, and with so much caution, as suits not the freedom of Trade, and keep in their pockets both the hands that should be at work. for the common interest of the Nation: and the mony which they should use. Besides many are already utterly ruined. The Author of Omnia Comeffe, &c. promifeth a Catalogue of the Families, which were a work worthy of his pains; and though it will be a fad speciacle, yet pollibly not unuseful to such as have the steerage of our publics concerns. And possibly it were no despicable countel for any, to offer to his Majesty to command out of every Diocets a strict account of all persons excommunicated. and confequently difenabled to trade, Cunlets they have a mind to give away the little they have) then his Majelly would fee how many active hands are lamed, and their monies kept from motion for their private necessary occasions, and so turned into a dead fock. It is believed that the number of such throughout the Nation is not less then, 20 or 2000a. It is no hard thing to determine, that the withdrawing of their stocks must need make agreat hole in the Trading-freek of the Nation. It was the faying of one, who once was a great Minister of State in this Nation, that Livy (the famous Historiographer) observed at The greatest sidirious that two was, in Rome, when the Citiente went about with their house in their pookers, and would do nothing. If that observation were true, it is evident who have been and are the greatest causers of it in England. Nor is it anything to fay these Courts were as high, and more active before 2640, when yet many was plentiful enough. For at the Diffenters are now twenty for one who was then, so our Trading-stock was then so great, as small sums were not to easily maffed. Our heap is since that time miserably dimanished, and we easily miss small sums, which then we should to the ground for Yet I do believe, that the forcing multitudes specified then (by those Courts) to Holland and New-England, we us the first wound in our Trailing-first; which when we recover, God knows.

But belides this, it was faid, that an irregular circulation of

our Trading-flock, dor a too flow circulation of it, must impoverify us as well as any other thing. They are the great Trader of the Nation should be maintained, and that by quick returns of mony too, or elfe we fink. Now it may be worthy of our confiderations, whether by the infinite summer taken on several accounts by the Bishops, Chancellors, their Courts, and several Officers upon feveral accounts, the due circulation of our Trading-flock, fo as to maintain the great Staple Trades of England, be not diverted and retarded. The Author of Omnia Cometto, &c. feems to argue as if the Bishops and their Officers eat up, or melted all their mony, or laid up all in a box. The truth is, there is no fuch thing, I believe it paffeth as quickly from them as from any fort of men. What Registers, Proliers, Apparaturs, Chonisters, &c. get usually, they part with to the next Drawer, he to the Vintner, the Vintur parts with it to the Merchant, the Merchant again to that Tradefman that can furnish him with wares fittell to exchange for Wines of all forts. You will fay, Where is the errour then? The eirevistion is perfected, and there can be no error unless the Merebant fends Coin for his Wines. "Tis true, the circulation here is not flopped, but it is diverted and retarded : the water runs, but it is through a fink instead of the true Condwirpipes, which should maintain the Nation. Let'us a little weigh this. VOIG LO LO MOTOR POLICE AND AND AND ADDRESS.

That there are very great sums of mony wrung out of the Kings Subjects by the Officers of the Ecclefiaftical Courts, is evident, and generally from the active trading part of the people : possible ro, 20, or 90 & feems little to men of great estates, but it is much to those who, it may be, drive all their trade with 10 or 12%. This goes to Apparators, Profiers, Commisfories, from thence it wheels till, it is true, it comes at last tothe Merchant : but in the mean time the Mill flands fill for want of water, trading is stopped, time is lost, many poorer persons. undone. In thort, I dothink it demonstrable enough, that the Drinking-trade is become one of the greatest trades of the Nation,. and what iffues in the least publick advantage. Now if this be carried on only by the Drinking-flock. I mean the mony of idle drones, that will spend their monyant better, poslibly no harm comes by it to the impoverishing the Nation; but if the Tradingflock be called in to that, I fear the Nation will feel of it, and this day doth feel of it. Th.

In there, if we fee any things we cannot but fee that it is high time, hot only for the union of our minds, and falling animo-fries, but for the keeping of an from begient, to take off all diffeouragements from Traders, effectilly such as wade in our Difference. The confequent of the cellation of that wheel, make be our inevitable overthrow and ruine. This must be by delivering the perform conterned, from the feverity of the Committee our making Entermines a kind of Outlandy, and the Samuel Law, his efficient performs excommunicated to Write and Capital's.

Why should Excommengements be in the fame predicament

Why should Excommingements be in the fame predicament with Omlowers? and revolvable upon harder terms? Why should the State concern it self to justific the four of the Church, which may error? Is not this like the Presburston through in Storland, which yet we pretend to desic? Why should the Civil Magistrate imprison sober persons, because the Church men have cast them out, it may be only because they did not exactly tell their Pige or Goss, or tythe their Mine and Amir. Is not Excomminication in Ordinance of Gody and duly administred, its it for person chough? Its tener punishment enough to be deprived of Gody Ordinances reals out of communication with his people? Her stored up to Satistad? And all this in the Name of Christ justifying in beaven what they do on earth, provided it be according to his will?

If it be not according to his will, white realon hath the Civi Megistrate to esponse when quiesely, and spatistic their errors; year and to involve in their gains. For occasing they trave a dreadful rethoning to make to God, that debit any the privileges of Christs bloods without a caste which his Word shall justifie. And will no gain follow the Civil Megistrate, for ruining persons so by them excomminated is Belief, thus the Magistrate suggests deeply, without any equivalent of the table to judge upodits, whether according to God word he may, or oughete do it; he mult give account to God for this mans infering in person, and the rung of his tamily; yet he shallnever examine the caste, but must take it upon the oredit of a Commission, is may be of a Surrogate, that is not worth so much credit. Is morehis strange blind obedience to the Church? Is any such kind of acting or punishment justifiable from Scripture, or any va-

houble Anagony? Sare I am the prejudice his Majeffy, and the Nation receives by these things are invaluable. I my self-have known an excommunication thus directake in his course, and nop a Tradelman upon whom the livelihood of a thousand poor depended. The consequences of these things may be foretold without Divination.

But I hear some saying. That to relax these severities, were to open a door to all Blassberry, Educatry, Errors, and withe adstruction of all Ecologistical Government.

1. To which I answer, that for Blapbeny and Idelary, the perfons I have been mentioning are far enough from any such imputations; I by Blapbens be meant a reproschial peaking of God. I must confess I think it a ceime to be punished by the Judge: the very Law of Nature secures the Daily, whom the Magistrate acknowledgesty from such affionts. I mean directs the Magistrate futbolicity to punish those than are guilty, which doubtless he ought to do, though he have no Significant from the Eccleratical Cours. The like I judge concerning Iblary, so highly declared against in Holy Write though for the kind of punishment, whether by Danish Bonds of Bandinging may be defined to the supplier of the supplier.

a. But when did, we hear of any profine wretch questioned lately for luch represental Bialphons in those Courts in Empland, though we have heard of unheard of Bialphonies) which the Civil Judges have taken notice of. Much less of Idolatry, how many Papalis have we heard of Excommunicated now in lever years time. Nor do I blame Eccletialtical Courts for these omittions to wards those which in my apprehention are clearly without the English Courtes; and what bath it is do to judge them?

3. For Errors, the Notion of them is to large, that unless we be fold what they call to, it is hard to focak ill the take; there are Errors which by the Law of England the Civil Magnitrate may punish; there are other notions that are called by one or Friends according, to the prevailing Party. Who knoweth not that Kings James, and the Parliaments generally in his Reign, as those also in the time of his Majeties Pather, judged the Arminian Paints, Errors, and leverely declared against them as fuch: but do we think to I limit be the next Generation will. What I ball, we, do in this case? Receive those into our communion

which they would have cast out, and it may be the next Age would cast out again. Or cast those out whom they judged only sit to be kept in, and it may be the next Age will do so again. Yet thus much I could allow, that men ought to be restrained by the Magistrate, from publishing any thing in popular Auditories against the avowed Asticles of Faith which the Church owns, But what need of Onelawies and Writs for this?

But those Ispeak of, are only such as diffent in matters of Worship, or if in matter of Doctrine, yet according to the Apossils direction, they have their private faith to themselves, not disturbing those otherwise minded. To sorbear these, I cannot see how it should destroy Ecclesiastical Government, at least to for-

bear them as to Mulcis, Imprisonments, Outlawries, Oc.

r. They may as well, yea better fay, the restraint of the Old Writ for burning Herestels, hath destroyed it already; for truly these Civil Animadversions, which men of this kind, call necessary to the Government of the Church (if not a part of it) without the revival of that Writ, or some Laws of equal severity (and unparalles dyet in any Protestant Territories) will be found to signific nothing but trouble and charge to the Nations. Yea, without some such course they will be forced to mannage their Government, contrary to all Rules of Justice, and of right Reason: who seeth not they are at that pass already?

Certainly never any Government in the world was counted just and reasonable, which assumed to it self a liberty (as it pleased) to simile or spare those brought under its Cognizance as Offendors. What should we say of our Common Law Courts; if when twenty persons were convented before them, and proved equally guilty of a Felony, the Judges should as they pleased condemn two, and let all the rest go; and of the two condemned, hang one and spare the other. Yet is not this done in these Courts every day? Twenty presented for the same fault, eighteen it may be, shall pay their money and be discharged, the other two shall be decreed to be excommunicated, and actually excommunicated.: A Writ shall be sued out against one of them, he taken, laid up in a Goal, his samily ruined; the other in the same crime, and condemnation not so.

Every one fees this is the daily practice; nor can I, nor will (I think) any fober person blame them. Should all die be ex-

communicated? Should they fue out Writs against all excommunicated, ten times so many Goals as are in the Nation would

not hold the Malefactors.

Without some Gast-delivery therefore, the Government thus managed, is not practicable according to Rules of Justice, which requireth proportions in distribution of punishments. This Gaol-delivery (unless the persons concerned would seek absolution, which they are far from, glorying in their bonds, rejaying that God thinks them worthy to fuffer, &c.) must be, by putting the malefactors to death, or banish ng them (a severity Protestant-England ever abhorred) or by a Pardon. If the Pardon might be under the condition of their promife to do fo no more, they would not accept deliverance on those terms; if otherwise, it doth but renew the fame work in a circle. What remains therefore, but the abatement of those severities, that the true Ecclefiaftical furifdiction might be practicable? which aided thus by the fecular arm, and no further, is indeed not practicable according to any Rules of Juffice. If any that fay it was with these circumstances formerly practicable. I answer, whether according to Rules of Justice ever fince the Writ abated de comburendis nereticis, I doubt, but all know what confutions an attempt for it brought the Nation into: Add to this, that the number of Diffenters is fithence multiplyed an hundred fold, and Knowledge as proportionably encreased; so as they find in the sufferers quite another Spirit. It was then rare to hear of one imprisoned upon the Writ De Excomm.capiendo, lying a Moneth in Pillon now the Goals are every where stocked with them, and they are triumphing in their bonds, whilest in the mean time most fober eyes that beheld them, pity them, and too many curse the Authors of their miseries, while they hear them proved guilty of nothing, but not taking a Church-wurdens Outh; not coming to bear Common Prayer, or the like, the persons being known of pious and fober, and ufeful lives.

2. But were this indeed to let look the reins of all Ecclesialical Government? Surely, those who say so, know not what it is. Is not the first Commission for that Government upon record, Matth. 18. Let us hear it, If thy Brother offend thee, go and tell him of it betwiest thee and him: if he hearth thee, thou hast gained thy Brother. If he hearth thee not, take two or three with

thee, &c. If he hear them not, Tell the Church; if be beareth not the Church, Let him be to thee, as an Heathen, and as a Publican. We must suppose the Surrogate and Register to be the Church. These persons refuse to bear them; what faith the Rule, Let bim be to thee as an Heathen, or a Publican. Cast him out of thy communion. est not with him, as the Apostle calls it, rejed him (as in the direction to Timothy.) Not a word of, Let him not fue for his debts. Let a Significavit be granted, and a Writ fued out against him and he taken and thrown into a Gaol. These things never came into. Christs heart, he never spake a word of them to his Apostles (whose Successors our Bishops would be) nor Paul to Timothy, (one whom they pretend to have been a Bishop) Let none say, the Church then had no Christian Magistrate to aid her. They who urge that as any thing of answer, consider not that Christ did know. well enough, that Kings should to his Church be nursing Fathers; for his Father had promised it, and he was of Councel to his Father in this Decree of it: nor yet do they confider, that Christ was giving there a perpetual Rule for the Government of his Church till his Second coming. The truth is, the Church needed no aid of the Temporal Sword to add terror to us censures, till the Guides of it began to be loofe, and give themselves liberty, to strike with Christs Sword, without looking for direction from his Rule, making that Sword revenge their quarrels, and fatisfie their lusts; by which means, the Censures of the Church, presently lost their authority. So long as the Officers of the Church exeented the plain revealed Will of God upon Offendors, they had the advantage of the offendors Conscience condemning them within. whiles they gravely with the authority of Christ, executed the Church censure upon them, and God oft times with extraordinary judgements on offendors gave tellimony to them. But affoon as instead of executing the will of Christ, they came to execute their own lufts, errors, and passions, they lost all advantage from the (pretended)Offendors conscience, nor did God stand by them. So they were forced to file to the Civil Magistrate for beterogeneous aid; hence burning Hereticks, Outlawing of excommunicate perfons; fuing out Writs, to imprison them, &o. All these things had their rife in corrupted Popish times, when the great Whore had made the Princes of the Earth drunk with her abominations; but all these things are clearly forneign to the Government of the Church.

Church. Though indeed it feems the duty of the Civil Magistrate, to secure the Church from disturbance by the rudeness of offendors; though they may be suffered to be as *Heathens*, yet they must not be suffered to be disturbers of those who make

more Conscience, as Christians.

But laftly, supposing something of this nature were necessary, or might at least be judged expedient, Might not the intrinsecal power of the Church, with the advantage of this forreign affilance be executed upon objects enough, who could not so much as pretend to any thing of Conscience: nor would any judge them such sufferers? Have we not enough of Drunkards, filthy Unclean Perfons, Profane Swearers, Curfers, Sabbath-breakers, Lyars, Revilers, dishonourers of Parents, Takers of Gods name in vain, Extortioners, and other brute Beafts, (the true spots of all Christian affemblies) for this power to be exercised upon? and as to the censuring, and other punishment of whom, they should not only be justified from Gods word, and the joint fuffrage of all good and fober men, but also the concurrent suffrage of the offendors consciences, that they are but justly dealt with. These men could not so much as say, when they were excommunicated, imprisoned, &c. We suffer these things, because we dare not fin against God. Nor that the word of God obligeth them to do such things. Do they doubt whether these in England would make sufficient suel for their fire? doubtless, they are double the number of Non-conformists, and (according to the Divine Rule) the proper objects of fuch Censures, 1 Cor. 5. 114 12. But the truth is, it is rare to hear that these Courts take any notice at all of these, and I have often thought it one of the great artifices of the Devil, to secure these Servants of his, by diverting our Church-men to fall only upon Non-conformills.

Thus far, Sir, without any passion or prejudice I have deliberated a little upon the first Query in the fore-mentioned Pamphlet, and considered how far the answer given by that Author to it is more, or less proper. How fortunate you will judge me in my Animadversions, or enquiry after the true cause of our Evils, I

leave to your Judgement.

But in truth Sir, I shall not wonder if what I have said doth not give you a sull satisfaction, for it doth not satisfie my self; I think when we have dived as deep as we can by humane reason into this great Mysterie of Providence, we shall be forced to

C 2

fly to occult earlies, and resolve it into the great Vengeance of God upon a sinful Nation, which Vengeance indeed is executed by some Second Causes; but as the Wrath of God is doubtless the highest cause, so the Proximate Cause is much hidden to us.

It possibly might be a work more worthy our pains to confider for what fins, God thus falls upon us. And verily we are grown a Nation fo vile, with all manner of Abominations, that we may quickly find enough to incense a just and angry God. What murthers and manslaughters? What prodigious filthines and uncleanness hath been, and is heard of every day? What beaftly drunkennes? What Oaths, Perpuries, and Blafthemies? What violation of Gods Sabbaths? What horrible profanation of his Ordinances? What barfh usage of those who defire to fear his name? To speak all in a word: Is not the propagation of the glorious Goffel of Christ one of the greatest deligns which God hath on foot (in order to his glory) in the world? Is not that it by which fouls are turned as from dumb Idols, so from beatty lufts, and finful courfes to the living God? Is there no stopping of the progress of this? Nay, have not these sew years put such a check upon it. and given fuch a stop to it, as is to be parallel'd by no story since the Christian Religion was known in the world. We have heard before of some single Ministers Silenced, and forbidden to preach but upon such terms, as they were forced to refuse unless to fave the fouls of others they would damn their own by wilful But was it ever before heard, that at one stroke three or four thousand should be legally silenced, and their parts and gifts restrained to corners, and not to be exercised there, but with danper of the tuine of them and their families? Do we fland and wonder, that the Vengeance of God should follow a Nation in which such a thing is found? when in the mean time, thousands of fouls perish for want of knowledge: And to make up this biatus, this want of Ministers, others have two, three, four Livings, many of which are not fit to take care of the fouls in one of their Parishes, such Ignoramus's, as they are not fit to teach at all. Others so abominably debaucht in their practice, that their Preaching is by the example of their lives made ineffectual. Let us wonder no more Sir, at this dreadful Curse of God upon us while we have done, and perfift yet in doing that, for which the wrath of God (faith the great Apolile) came upon the Jews to the actmostutmost. I Thest. 2. 16. Forbidding us to speak to the Gentiles, that they might be faved, that they might sill up the measure of their iniquities, for the wrath of God in come upon them to the utmost: We know how severe God was against the Jews, for denying the benefit of the year of Jubilee, in giving liberty to their servants. What can we expect he should be to us, who deny the benefit of the acceptable year of Salvation, to poor souls, in not allowing liberty for the Preaching of the Everlasting Gospel?

Thus Sir you have my thoughts as to the first Query. I have a leifurable hour, I care not if I bestow it upon you in speaking a word or two, to those other Queries to which he saith nothing, though the Questions be odly put, yet possibly we may

find fomething of Moment in them.

The fecond Query is as he flates it thus :

Quer. 2. Whether feeing all Reformed Churches in Europe did, upon their first Resormation, and departure from Popery, cast out all Diocesan Bishops, name, and thing, as an office, altogether Popish, together with all their Hierarchical appurtenances, and as to this day ofteen of them no otherwise, England should not have

done, or may not do the like?

That all Reformed Churches in Europe, did so as is said in this Query (though some will deny) is undoubtedly true; Their Superintendents are quite other things in Power as well as Name. But what will you say, if upon a just and due enquiry, we shall find that (abating Names which do not so trouble considerate men) England, and the first Resormers in it (which I count from King Edward the sixth his time, for King Henry the eighth deserves little of that name) did the like as to their settled Laws, though there have been other pretences, and be variations in Practice from those settled Rules.

To the finding out the truth in this particular, and so a little allay this heat, we must first consider the notion of a Bishop; then the adjunct of Popish; and lastly, The appurtenances both to

the one, and the other.

The name Episcopus is innocent enough, fignifying no more than an Overseer, applyed to the Congregation, or collective body of Christians: He is an Overseer of the Church, which oversight is taken to comprehend Doctrine & Government. So that he is a person, whose Office it is to look to, to seed with the word of Doctrine,

and

and to govern with the Crook or Rod of Discipline, the Church of Christ: and under this overlight comes the Ordination of Mini-Hers. That Christ (the Lord of the Church) hath appointed fome Officers in it, to whom he hath delegated a power, to reach his Gospel to his people, and to ordain Teachers to them, and by his Rules of Discipline to govern them, is a truth which I think no lober persons ever doubted. That the first work of Preaching the Gospel belongs to all Ministers, is without question: The question is, whether the Ordaining Ministers ordinarily, and the governing of the Church, by a just dealing out of such censures as Christ hath ordained to reclaim those that err from his rule, belong to a single person, or to more in conjunction. The Presbyterians contend for the letter, upon the account of a Divine right. Those that contend for Bishops, contend, That in the Church, Ministers ought to be ordained by a single person, whom they call a Bishop, either without any joyned with him, or without any necessarily joining with him in that action. And that the Censures of the Church ought (especially Excommunication) to be dealt out by the same person. But how far as to the latter any Bishops power shall extend is another question. The Papilts contend for an Universal Bishop, who (instead of St. Peter) shall extend this power to the nimif ends of the Barth, even fo far as Christ hath possession. Others plead for a Diocesan Bishop, whose eye should be over many particular Churches, but how many they allow to be of Civil right to determine. Now for luch an Officer as this;

1. Some plead a Divine Right, that this was the will of Chrift.

Such they say, were the Apostles, who must have Successors (not considering that this Argument holds for universal Bishops) Ju
"Issor town, das (faith Dr. H.) was a Diversan Bishop, he went to his Diocess, Acts 1. (but the generality of Divines judge that a Diocess not very desirable.) Some plead the Presidents of Timothy and Titus: but most think that Mr. Pryn hath so unbishop'd them, as they will never again get on their Rochets. Others, the Angels of the Churches, which is they were Angels guardian (as some would have it) Or the Messengers of those Churches to some Council: Or the Messengers and Embassadors of Christ (as all Mini-

2 Cor. 5. 20 flers are) to particular Churches: or any collective body, makes nothing in the proof of what some would have it to prove.

Now if any notwithstanding this, will think that this Office

is Jure Divino; this will not make the English Bishop, a Popish Bishop; unless the Law of England so determines him (which it doth not) Indeed Bishops, that contend for this, may be called Popish, as contending for a point which no true Protestants ever contended for: Yet not properly, for neither will Popery allow any such thing. All know how stiffy this was opposed in the Council of Trent; at which time it was (faith the History of Trent) resolved in the Congregation of Cardinals, That their Legats at the Council, should inviolably observe not to suffer a determination Sr. N. Events to pass the Council, that Bishops were Jure Divino. It is true, Gra-Hist. p.603. nata, Fonsea, Sincout, stiffy defended it; but many others, and Laynez in particular as stiffy opposed it. So as suppose a Bishop thinks he stands by a Divine Kight; Yet this makes not all English Bishops, Popish; for no Parliament of England ever so established them.

2. Some plead for a Jus Ecclesiasticum, and some, to carry it as high as they can, call it Apostolicum. The meaning is, that though Christ did not indeed institute such an Order; nor ever did it come into his heart, yet the Apostles did; (witness Timothy and Titus) or if they forgot it, yet the Primitive Church did, to whose Constitutions we are obliged, in the Case sub pana, of a Schism. The first part of this is the same with a Divine Right. The latter would never yet be declared in any English Law, so that no English Bishop stands on that foot, no nor yet by the Papists themselves allowed. Who generally divided themselves into two parts: Some pleading for a Divine Right, which the Church of Rome never would allow. Others for that only which solloweth.

3. The truly called Popish Bishop therefore pretends to his Office Jure Pontificio. Christ made St. Peter a Bishop, and gave him power to make Bishops. Thus the Papalins in the Council of Trent:hence they sue to the Pope for the Bishopsick, by him really (though in shew it may be from a Chapter) they are made, clothed with their Authority, &c. Those that know the Laws of England, know that it is a dangerous thing for any English Bishop, either to sue to the Gentleman at Rome for any such Office, or accept it from him; so that none can upon that account pretend to their Office.

4. What remains, but Jus Regium & Cafareum, the Kings Laws,

1 Edw. 6. 2.

or Lex terre, the Law of the Land, which, (before the Reformation) Whereas our Royal Grandfather founded this Church in the state of Prelacy; and upon the Reformation, expressly ferthe Englift Bishops upon as much a Civil foundation, as a fullice of Peace stands by the Statute made in the first year of Edward the first. Which Statute makes Archbishopricks and Bishopricks the Kings gifts. 2. Damns all elections to the Officer. 3. Makes the Kings Patents sufficient to make any person a Bishop. 4. Peremptority declares all Ecclefiaftical power to be, and Courts to be kept by no other authority, forreign, or within the Realm, but from the King. And to tellifie this, 5. Enjoyns them to have the Kings Arms in their Seals. 6. To lend out Processes, Citations and Summons in the Kings name, with their own Telle only. If this be not enough to make the Office perfectly Civil, (in a strict lense) though exercised about Church-affairs, about which Civil Magistrates have their Office, as all fober men grant) I would fain know what is. It is true. Queen Mary repealed this Law, I Mar. 2. but both Queen Elizabeth and King Fames r. pealed that repeal, 1 Eliz. 1. Fac. 25. However it is futficient to tell us, what foot our fore-fathers thought fit to fet those Ecclefiastical Officers upon in England, and to that purpose I only urge it.

I know there have been momentous questions started upon that Statute, 1 Edw. 6. 2. especially in the Parliament held in the fourth of King James: fome urging frictly in force of that Statute, that there were then no Bishops in England, and that their Acts were all null and void, because neither their constitution, nor yet their proceedings were according to the Statute. 1 Edm. 6. And I have fren some Papers printed to this purpose fince the late reftoring of Bishops, and know many who stand upon this with the Ecclefiaffical Courts, and refuse obedience to their Citations, &c. because their Processes are not in the Kings Name, nor the Kings Arms used in their Seals, by which means they have run themselves into great prejudices. The truth is, that not withfunding that Statute, our Common Lawyers have judged, our Bishops Constitutions and way of proceedings war. rantable by Law, yet (if so much) aris but barely so, as may be judged by any that will put himfelf to the trouble of understanding the nicety of the case. Upon the disquisition before mentioned. 4 Fuc. the Judges at first thought, that by Law the Bishopticks Were

were all void, and their proceedings null, the Statute 1 Edw. 6. repealed I Mar. being revived again by the Statute I Eliz. & Jac 25. But to prevent the confusion they faw would follow, they let themselves to a further learch; and found that the Statute 1 Edw. 6. was not only plainly repealed by 1 Mar. (which was indeed repealed afterward, but in part by the Statute 1, 2 Phil. Mar. cap. 8. in these words, _____ And the Ecclesiastical Jurisdictions of the Archbishops, Bishops, and Ordinaries, to be in the Same State for Process of Suits, Punishments of Grimes, and Execution of Censures of the Church with knowledge of eauses belonging to the Same, and as large in the points as the faid Jurisdiction was, the faid 20 year. And in whole vermally (for exprelly it is not to this day) by the Statute, I Eliz. cap. 1. as it reviveth the Statute made in 25 Hen. 8. 20. (though it doth not at all mention the Statute made upon the Reformation i Edw. 6.) which unquestionably would have been expressy named and repealed in it, if the Parliament had ever intended to repeal it.

The Statute 25 Hen. 8.20. chiefly relates to the restraint of paying the Pope Annates and First figure. In the latter part it directs the way of confituting Bift per for the time to come. It will not be amis to set down the substance of it, that we may yet be fully convinced, that Bishops in England stand meerly upon a Civil soot.

That Statute therefore provides,

1. That upon the avoidance of any Archbishoprick or Bishoprick, the King may grant to the Prior or Covent, or the Dean and Chapter of the Cathedral Churches or Monasteries, a License under the Great Seal to proceed to an Election, Oc. With a Letter m' five, containing the name of the person which they shall elect or chuse. If they neglect twelve days, the King may make the Bishop by his Letters Patents. When chosen, the other Bishops are enjoyned to consecrate bim; if they do not chuse the person so nominated, and certifie such election within twenty days, or refuse to Consecrate him, they by that Stitute incur a Premunire. This is the substance of that Statute; by vertue of which, all our Common Lawyers fay our Bishops are chosen. In this Statute there are also these words: That every person so elected and consecrated ---- shall be obey d in all manner of things, according to the name, title, degree and dignity that they shall be so chosen and presented unto; and do and execute in every thing and things trucking the same, as any Arch' on p

1 Edw. 6. 2.

Considerable Co. (4) Application of Application of the Constitution of the Constitutio

or Billoop of this Realm, without offending of the Prerogative Royal of the Crown, and the Edwir and Conforms of this Land, might at any time beretofore do. This Statute held in force till I Edw. 6. The Parliament then, as appears by their fecond Act, judged, that the Election by Deans and Chapters were to the long delay, and cofts and charges of perfons fo cholen, and the faid Elections in very deed no Elections, but only had colours, shadows, and pretences of Elections, ferving to no purpose, and seeming allo derogatory and prejudicial to the Kings Prerogative Royal. Therefore they Enact as afore-laid, That no Writ of Conge-de-Live should be granted, nor any such Election made. But Bishops should be made by the Kings Letters Patents. In the fame Act they declare, That all Authority of Jurisdiction Temporal and Spiritual, is derived and deduced from the Kings Majefty And that all Courts Eccleraftical be kept by no other power or ambority, either Forreign or within the Realm, but by the authority of his most Excellent Majety. To evidence this, they by their Act enjoyn all Summons and Citations, or other Process Ecclefiaftical. Oc. to be made in the name and ftyle of the King. give the reason, because to send out Summons in their own names is according to the form and manner as it was used in the usurped time of the Bishop of Rome, contrary to the form and order of the Summons and Process of the Common-Law used in this Realm. They further by the same Act enjoyn the Kings Arms to be engraven in their Seals of Office, with certain characters under the Arms for the knowledge of the Diocess. And the fame Act enjoyns them to use no other Seal, under penalty of his Majesties diffleasure, and impri-Conment . Oc.

These prudent Senators saw the Bishops of those times unwilling to stand meerly on a civil soundation, and therefore taking all advantages to entitle themselves to another derivation of Power and Office, and therefore cautioned it by this Statute.

Queen Mary succeeding, and restoring the Bishop of Rome to his former power, wholly abrogated this Statute, and afterward, 1, 2 Phil. Mar. repealed all Statutes against the See of Rome. It doubtless was never the intention of the Law-givers to repeal the Statute 1 Edw. 6. for it was done before expressly; only those words drop'd in which before were mentioned, And the Ecclesialical Jurisdictions, &c. Queen Elizabeth succeeds: The Patliament in the first year of her Reign repeals the aforesaid.

Statute, 1 & 2 Phil. Mar. 8. and reviveth all branches and claufes in any Statute, repealed by that Statute of Repeal, which are not in that Act specially mentioned and revived: but indeed that Statute doth revive the Statute 25 Hen. 8. 20. first mentioned, as forbidding Annatics and First-finits to be paid to the Pope, and fitting a way or the choice of Bishops. All this while the Statute 1 Mar. 2. Stands in force, by which the Statute 11 Edw. 6. 2. was repealed, and fo, for ought I read it did, till the 1 fac. 25. when it was repealed wholly. So that then notwithstanding Queen Mary's repeal, the Statute 1 Edm. 6.2. was alive and of force again, according to the rule in our Law, Remoto impedimento revivifeit statutum. But although that Statute of repeal was repealed, yet the Judges thought in 4 Fac. that the Statute of I & 2 Phil. Mar. 8. had words enough to repeal that of I Edm. 6. 2. as to Process, Seals, &c. and that the Statute I Eliz. did repeal that Statute 1 Edw. 6. 2, wholly. It is manifelt, that his Majesty had a mind to find palave for the fore at that time, and the Judges were as zealous in the case to prevent the contusion other determinations would have made in judiciary proceedings. But we all knew determinations, to encouraged do not always prove the justest. And my Lord Cook plainly tays, That the Judges upon diligent enquiry, at first did judge the Law fo, (i.e. that the Bishopricks were all void, and their actions since 1 fac. 25. all null and void) but afterward they determined otherwise; and why? 1. Because of the afore-mentioned clause, 1, 2 P. 6 Mar. 8.

1. But first, this was but implicit, plainly never intended by the Parliament in that Act, for they had quite slain the Act we

speak of by a Parliament, 1 Msr. 2.

2. That clause saith no more then that their Jurisdiction shall be in the same state for Processes, &c. Must it therefore needs follow, that it must be in the same Name, and with the same Seal?

3. But thirdly, the Statute & Eliz. plainly repeals all mords and clauses in that Act, 1, 2 Phil. & Mar. c. 8, except what is specially mentioned and revived in that Act, which I think nothing in that Statute is but the clauses about Premunire, which are indeed in that Act specially continued and revived.

2. But it is faid that the ACt 1 Eliz. reviving the ACt 25 Hen. 8. 20. doth repeal that ACt 1 Edw. 6. 2.

1. If it doth, it is but virtually, (as the Judges granted) for it is not expressed.

2. Should not the Act i Edw, 6. 2. have been then mentioned

and repealed?

It is faid. That later Laws abolift former contrary Laws. But here is no contrariety, though a little divertity. What power the Act 25 Hen. 8. gave the King in case the Dean and Chapter, or the Prior refuled speedy obedience, this Act gives the King, without the Ciremony of the Writ, and Letters mifferes, both gave the King

a power to make the Billion by Letters Patents.

3. But suppose it that the ACT 20 Flen. 8. was revived from 1 Eliz. that Statute gave them no power but with a falvo to the Kings Prerogative, and the Laws and Customs of the Land. pole it was not the King Prerogative before, yet certainly it was by the Statute 1 Edw. 6. 2. made a piece of his Prerogative by tole Letters Patents to make any person Bistop, without uling the former method of Writs of Conge de Nere, and Letters Miffive, and returns upon them. So that although 10 or 12 years after this. the Act of 20 Hen. 8. revived, yet it then gave them no power to make ejections other wife then according to the Statute i Edn. 6 2. becaute it was contrary then to the Prerogative Royal.

And the fame Parliament, 1 Edw. 6. 2. declares it contrary to the course of Law, that Course derived only from his Majety should fend out Processes other than the Kings name. So that upon an equitable judgment; the reviving the Statute 25 Hen. & reflored nothing to them taken away by the Statute I Edw. 6. 2. because contrary to his Majeffer Propagative Royal, and the cuftom of the Lawsof

the Land.

4. Indeed the revival of the Statute 25 Hen. 8. 20. candidly interpreted, reached no farther then as to the fift part of it, for paying Amates and Fiell fruits nomore to the Pope, but to the King: and doubtfels if they had intended more, they would in terms have repeated that Act i Edw & 2. which they needed not, for it flood repealed till'1 7 pc. 25. when Ouech Mary's Act of Repeal was in whole repealed, and that Statute had a new life given to it. And if that Parliament had not thought they. had reflored the Act I Edw. 6. 2. to its vigour, to what purpole did they repeal that Act of repeal at that time? But this ex abunding for the Statute, 25 Hen. 8.20. plainly enough fets the-

the English Bishop on a meer Civil Foundation. The King must nominate him to the Prior or Dean, they must chuse him whom the King nominateth, and no other; if they do not, they are in a premunire; if they neglect, the King hath a fole proor to do it by his Letters Patents. Will they fay, the Kings nomination doth not make the Biffor ? I would then demand what doth? Not their election, for they can only chuse whether they will have bim or none; if they will not, the Kings Letters Parents shall do the deed without them. Will they fay, it is the following Confecration? (which is all the Law allows it, faith nothing in the Statute 25 Hen. 8. 20. of the ordaining Bishops) all know that is but a folemnity for Gods bleffing upon the person nominated and elected, Upon this reflection, Sir, upon our English Laws and conflitutions, it is to me as clear as the light, that although our fift Reformers were pleased to retain some old names, yet they at the first abolished all Popis Bishops. Setting Bishops upon a meer Civil bottom, which is a notion far enough from Popilh Bishops, they are all divided in two parts. Some judging the Office by Divine right: others pleading for it only as derived from the Pope the Universal Bishop, who (say they) hath power to confirtute Bishops. In opposition to both these our English Reformers, as they damned the latter, to they disowned the former, and put all the power of this nature into the hands of the Civil Magistrate, from whom alone the English Bishop must detive name and thing, office and bonour. Nor is it possible they should, with the least colour of reason, pretend to more. As to the larter, all will grant it; the Law of England makes it a pronunire to claim from the Pope, and King Henry 8. in his time deeply fined them all for but taking an Oath to the Pope, when he had chosen them. And for any pretence to ?us divinum, Apostolicam, or Ecclefiafticum, it is as vain as can be imagined. For it belongs to the effence of such a Bishop to be chosen into his place, according to some prescript from Gods Word. Apostolical or E:clefiafical Canon, (if any fuch Church Canon could bind us.) Now I suppose they will not pretend from Gods Word to shew us, or in any Canon to thew us'a Constitution to this purpole, that in Nations where are Christian Magistrates, the chief Magistrate shall nominate a person whom the Dean and Chapter shall chuse, and others shall confecrate, and who before such nomination, election 4

(28)

relection and confectation had no power to ordain a Minister, nor to execute a Chunch-cenfure ; but immediately after fuch nomination. election and confectation, fight have fuch power, and none but He. If they lay, the election and nomination are nothing, I would fain know why any elfe may not as well as they pretend to the

Office ?

et dim

I am aware it will be objected, that the Civil Magistrate cannot give any power to ordain Ministers, or excommunicate, no more then he can administer the Sacraments. But I answer, It is one thing to give the power, another thing to allow the exercise of the power in his Territories. The person nominated and elected as afore-faid, if he doth those min sterial acts which it may be are not effential to the Office of an English Bishop, (had we never any Bishops that did neither?) is supposed to have this power in him before by some Ecclelialtical Ordination. His Majesty only calls him out to execute it in his Territaries, and limits him as to the execution, and further gives him a legal right to a good revenue, and to judge in those (properly) Civil causes, which former Laws have made under the cognizance of those Courts, which his Majesty authorizeth him to keep, &c.

By this time you ke, Sir, the conflitation of our English Bithon is not Popilly nor his dependency fuch. What personally he may be as to his fentiments in any Doctrines, or practice of any of their superstitions Rices, is quite another thing; and either their personal fault, which may be corrected according to the established Laws of the Land; or the fault of those Civil Laws, which he hath a civil obligation upon him to execute.

For the appurtenant Officers to these Grand Ecclefiaftical Minusters, such as Chancellors, Commissiones, Arch-descons, Regifters, Advocates, Proctors, Apparisors. The names indeed are of an old extract, and possibly devised by Papists, but their nature is according to that of their Masters whom they serve. Now I am not much enery at names, though in some cases I could wish fomeless offentive, And so much I think is enough to have animadverted as to the lecond Query.

The third Query, Sir, I find flated fo uncouthly, that I have no mind to fpeak to it as it lies in the Pamphlet. I think I shall speak to every thing he would have an answer to in it, under this short enforme, and who lefore fuch maistened

On. 4. Whether it be not lawful and expedient, to supply the eminent necessities of the Nation) for the Legislative Power of the Nation, to seize upon the publick Lands and Revenues, which in the present state of affairs, legally belong to these Officers, and to convert them to other uses to supply publick necessities: or if not all, yet some part belonging to some of them.

I put in the term lawful and expedient; for a thing may be simply lawful, that is not expedient; and so gaicquid non expedit in quantum non expedit non licet; being inexpedient, it may upon

that account be unlawful.

I put in [the Legislative Power] for they being publica bona it seems contrary to reason, that private hands should dispose of them.

I fay to supply Leminent necessity I I do not think it is lawful to take money, i.e. out of a Subjects Purse for Luciny or Wantonness.

I say [all or some of them] It is not impossible that we may find more of a Divine impression, upon a bare revenue then upon a luxuriant, superssuous revenue, no need that a Bishop should have maintenance allowed him for a pack of Hounds, much less for worse uses.

In the mean time, I fee no remedy, but a State must judge of this Necessity, and the Quantum, necessary to supply it, or convenient to be here taken for that end. We have no higher Judi-

cature under Heaven.

I have no fooner stated this Question, but that of our Saviour,

Mar. 2, 25, 26. runs in my mind.

Have you never read what David did, when he had need and was an hungry, he and they that were with him, how he went into the House of God in the dayes of Abiathar the Priest, and did eat the

Shew-bread, which is not lawful to eat, but for the Priefts.

The story is, 1 Sam. 21. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5. To understand it a little, Exod. 25. 30. By a speciall precept Shew-bread was alwayes to be before the Lord. Six loaves on a row, to be servery Sabbath upon the pure table, frankingense was to be put upon each row, it was to be Aarons and his Sons, most boly to bim, and to be eaten in the Holy Place, Lev. 23. 9. A stranger must not eat of it, if any remained, it must be burn, Exod. 29. 33, 34. accordingly Moses enjointeth. Aaron and his Sons to do at their Consecration, Lev. 8. 31. It

is impossible any inanimate thing should have a greater impresfion of Holines upon it, it is called boly, most holy, an offering to the Lord; strangers plainly probibited to eat. It was boby not only by voluntary designation, but by a plain institution, a thing exprefly commanded to be given to God, and being given thus

guarded from all uses, but the Priests only.

David (faith our Saviour) who by the way too at that rimes was but the aunointed, not the Actual King, being an hungry, when he had need Oc. took this bread, and converted it to his private use, and is justified by our Saviour. Yea, and (to thew that this was not of the fame nature with those Heroick ads, which Divines say are not to be imitated) our Saviour bringeth this inflatice to justifie his Disciples committing Sacriledge (as the Pharifees thought) upon the boly time. It is true, the loaves of bread were of minute confideration, but the crime of Sacrifedge is as much to be tried, for a Surplu, as for a Chalice. for a loaf of bread, as for the stones of the Temple it felf. Methinks I cannot but from this instance gather;

1. That the Shew-bread was as much an boly thing as any Vienfil, or House, or Law under the Gospel can be, yea, far more.

2. That what David did, Saul the actual King might (if he

pleased) have done.

3. That in case of need or bunger for a Prince to take any thing to dedicated now to religious uses and give it to them with him, is no fuch crime as Sacrifedge, nor can be fimply unlawful. It may be the case were otherwise, if the defign were to spend it in. Luxury. But God is so kind to the miserable necessities of mulfitudes, that he had rather his Temple thould want Gold, than his creatures Bread; his Service rather that want superfluities than his poor creatures necessaries; therefore in such a case for David to take hallowed bread; for the Disciples to make use of holy time, was noting but what God alloweth.

But because there is a greatery of Sacriflage, it will not be out

of our way, a little to examine that thing.

According to the Notation of the word, it figuilies, the figuing of that which a boly. So as these two things come to be enquired upon:

t. Whether the things to book of 20. 3 with the best of the the Charles and his Sons to do at their Conferien 2. Whather the taking them away (in the fente mentioned in the

question) can be called stealing.

That nothing of this nature can have any inherent holiness, the most absurd will grant, so that relative holiness is all can be spoken of To make that in reason a sole dication, or dedication is not sufficient. For what pretence can there be to entitle any thing to God, to which he hath not made hindfelf a title. either by commanding it, or by approving the gift. In this latter lense were all the things boly, which the Scripture calleth for Thus Tythes under the Law were boby, and they faid to rob God, that withheld Tythes and Offerings. The Heathen's had another notion of bely lehings, accounting all things for that were vomed, for given to their gide; Hence Tully inveighs against Verres for plundering Apollo's and Dian's Temples y Lactamins inveighs against him for it, because they were Donations to superfitious ufer. A later Author admires at Loctantins for it, because Verres had a Secrilegious mind : but let his mind be what it would, his action was no Suchfledge, if the things were not boby but under the protection of this Notion, the Heathers counted Sacrilegious perfons very originals. Honce in the Acts Robers of Churches are reckoned amongst great offenders. And the Apolile checks the Jews for teaching the Law in forme points. and doing contrary to it in others, in this form, Doft them abbor Idols and commit Sacrikdre Since Christianney obtained in the world, the Pupilts have most to do about this Notion, yet cannor agree to which of the ten Commandments to reduce it. The moft agree the reduction to the first Table, and the third Coinmandment there, and indeed the fecond Table treating only of duties immediately due to, and fins immediately committed against ourney about! 'This pretended to be against God inmediately) can with no reason be reduced to that. If it fall under the eighth Commandment, it must be Peculatus, a robbing of the publick flock, but Sacriledge it cannot be. The Papitts reducing it to the third Commandment, fay there are three fecies of it as under the Gospel, these are Holy persons, places, things, this falls under the lowest feeles with them. With themit is Sacrifedge to Strike a Priest, to bring bim before a Civil Magifrate.

first, with an hundred other things, the guilt of which need not be feared.

But it is hard to fay under the Gospel, that any thing is holy, but Gods Word and Ordinances, God hath particularly directed no

Venfils, Houses, Lands to be given to him.

· So as I find most Protestants speaking little or nothing of Sacriledge. I know that when King Hen. 8. 400k away Monafterics and Abbies, Sir Thomas Moor cryed out Sacriledge; but all know Sir Thomas had more Wit than Judgement, and more Law than Divinity. The Supplication of beggars, made that business appear free of that charge. Upon the late alienation, and especially upon his Majefries Reftauration. The cry was up again, but those who made it, forgot that by that charge, they both condemned King Henry the eighth; and the Parliament in his time, and all the Nobles, Gentry and Commons in England who have any Abbey Lands. Yea, and all Reformed Churches of a fin, which they would have judged next to that against the Holy Ghoft. The Komish Priest Care this well, and laught in his fleeve, fending out a Ramphlet, to tell them that if all Monaffery and Abby Lands were not restored, they could not quit the Nation of Sacriledge, under the charge of which they had brought it. To be short, if there be any such thing as Sacriledge in it, those who make the Cry are most guilty. Were the appropriate Typhes, dedicated for the use of a Bishop. Dean, or Pretend, or for a preaching Minister in the place, they take them, and either flarve the people, letting them. baye no Minister, or very rarely; and starve the Minister giveing him 10. or 20,1, per annum, instead of 100. or 200, 1. But full it is faid, that they go to an holy use ? I answer , not to the use they were destinated nor so an buly use if only to make a Superfluity for the Superious Minister: When the true Miniller wants bread. Whether Archbishope Bishope Deans, and Chapters be of Divine Institution is a question That Minitiers to Churches are, is no question: Is it not Sacrileage to take it from him who unquestionably is Gods Officer to the place, and to give it to him, as to which there is a question. But it is endless to argue these Vanities, with those who are resolved

they will not understand ought but what tends to advance their outward Grandieur

The robbing of God (that alone is Sacritudge) is one thing. The robbing of a Stat, is another thing. The Crimen Peculitus I allow for private persons surreptitionly to take away publick goods. But how the State can be guilty of robbing it self I am to learn. It cannot be guilty of robbing God, if the things aliened, be such as God layes no claim to Sir I shall as an unbiassed man freely tell you my thoughts.

hath left certain Institutions for the good of his Church; so he hath appointed certain persons, or a certain order of persons to dispense these Ordinances who ought to attend to reading, medi-

sation and prayer.

2. I believe it the will of God that these persons taken off the world, and providing for themselves or families, to serve the publick in the highest and most spiritual concerns, should be traintained by those to whom they administer, either by a voluntary, or settled allowance, which ought to be just, certain, and benowable, that they might have both to give to the poor, and moderately to provide for their Wives and Children.

3. I do believe the Magistrates duty to be, to take care there be such Officers, and that they have such maintenance.

In pursuit of this, as doubtless they have done well, who have given any Tythes, Honses, or Lands, to maintain such Gossel-Administrators, so it is the Magistrates duty as far as he can, to preserve such Donations to their right use.

s. In case any gifts have been given to Superstitious and Idolatrous wies. I believe the Zealous Magistrate may seize them, and turn

them to better uses.

6. If in his Territories he finds a sufficient maintenance for all those necessary Officers which God requireth, and a surplusage either given for a maintenance of Orders of persons not appointed, or far more than is necessary for a just and honourable maintenance for such as God hath appointed minister in holy things. I have no doubt at all, but he may seize upon

them for other lawful and far more necessary use: This in that which I clearly think as to the point of Lawfulness, and the surprinces of Sacriledge. Upon this account I cannot but Justific our first Resonance in second I cannot but Justific our first Resonance in second I cannot but Justific our first Resonance in second other Resonance Churches.

Expediency is an other thing. Commitances must there be weighed: and indeed I take the Chief Magistrate to be the true Supream Judge of Expediency. I though every Conscience as to its own practice, must judge of lawlestness and unlawfulness.) I began to think Nan-expediency in the present case might have been urged, in regard of the late re-assumption of them, but I remember, the Magistrate did not restore them as Sacrilegiously aliened, but only as fold by shose who had nothing to the late.

The highest thing I think to be weighed in the Case, wis whether thile Officers be hot necessary to the Prince Columnient, and whether what is dosse by them for the King Jean the

as well done by other hands.

The lervice they do falls under a double motion relations of the Coffeel, Preaching to the pupile, Praying published for them, Administring Suraments to them, Exclusing Declination Coffeel Rules) Ordaning Minister for them. I know nothing more which God hath inflittuded in his Church, now these things they do in fact, and such a Circular and Territory, by the Authority of the Dad of that place (in England by the Mathority of the King:) but the reliance themselves are doing by an Authority derived immediately from God, and conveyed by Ordination, his Ordinatic to that purpose.

2. The second fort of freier they do the Nation, is only about things as indeed in they Civil, but by the freient of Prince have been Indeed to Indeed; and have forme of them Educations, which is Taking Professions, giving Definitions, Industries Course, in which they maintain divers pleas, especially in oal sythet are Minimum at

and Teffinemery, in the bufinels of Tother and Offerings, in matters of foundal relating to ddarriage; and many other things, formet of which out disdoubt by the Lawbyrit Courts properly try at de to other in A Theil Contron Langer to challenge them for encroaching and daily green Brobibings Belides this, the Law refers to them to Liverfe Curati, Lasturers, School-Matters ? Phylitians Midwiver and Such as intend to marry ; to Strain Church-mardens, to look (to the milding for, publick Warling. the Chuncket Church raids we about to workdow of the Now in all thoses the Debut and Chapter ware no more consetned thankny private Relight Prieft of they have nothing to do in the Courtement, no reliation to the Courts, most are further concerned than to look to the Cathedrals. So that for them and all their appartenances, if the State pleaseth, every one feeth they be able Mariflers enough in thefacons flow briefl od vers oilthis true according to practice is lat the Constitution of a Bishop, the Writ is sent to them they make a return upon it, but the Stote 1. Ed. 6. 20 hath declared This no Election, but a meer sheets, the and given his Majesh Power so do all by Letters Patrotson What when dorther to Theywiet Bravers read swice is dat if Carbedral all the year; by which fan fame, defest of Prayers - Theme is Applied: Who knows me that they rarely bendes their own number, have twenty to hear them, people either praying dehome touthole who have not formuch Religion as to pray there, wanting so much as to carry them out to the Cathedrals. They were not more rule when innorance more prevailed, and active could decad is now most families having in them, some or other ethaoricathranduality floot the Death do as youth as house, as they weiers, to as much advarlandedandradt them so or . 379 ... 1 21 Asith Prepubling they have very little of that to do, as Deans of Prebends: Dr. Hocket indeed (now Bifton Hacket) in a Speech before the Radiantino pleaded for their gontinuance upon this becomes ifor a slipply of Letture, and urged, that they were

by their fragmest twed to its and inveighed against fome Bishops that had difturbed Lawrers Jas an Opftare Order of Freachers. It is how level years that we again have had experience of them, rethat Lecharces have been beholden to them for ? How rarely telosthey preach & Nayy there are fome of them, who inflead of preaching Priefts.

preaching themselves, wholly declaim against it. If the Dean of Norwich be not wronged, it is his common Theam with which he trieth all Auditories where he cometh, "One of the Books of Produces hath recorded him, An Quile at high-noon-day fitting over him in the Cathedral, and bollowing whiles he in the Pulpit was declaiming against Preaching (it so pleasing God, that an Owl under the Gospel, as the Af under the Law) should reprove the marines of the Prophet) and it is no wonder, for he is so unhappy at the work that we observe at Whitehall, but a thin Auditory when he Preacheth! It is true, there are amoneft them better Preachers, but they have, or may have Churches enough to Preach in; and for the most part the Pulpits at their Livings want them while they are finging Service at Carbedrals: and if there he fuch need of Lectures in Cashedrake there is or may be able Ministers enough in those Cities to which they relate." Who finght supply that work without to great additional Revenue. I London had more Lectures, when there was no Dean, nor Prebends at Pauls, nor Westminster. So that for Deans and Chapters, they are indeed upon all accounts perfectly needless, and may be foured well enough, and themselves confess it while one and the same person can be Dian of A Prebend of B. Archdeacon of C. Mater of D. Porfon of E. and Viover number, lave twenty to hear them, people

For Arebbishops, Bishops and Arebbascoms, these are those only that have any Legal share in Government. Chancellors, Commissioner, Advances, Protors, Registers, Apparitors, &c. are but their inferiour Agents. All the questionis, whether if it so please his Mijest, the Service they do to the Church or State might not be done by others, to as much advantage, who should not need so great revenues, On Let us examine what this is I before said. They are things either purely Ecclessifical, as Ordination of Ministers, Examination of the persons in order to it, Preaching, administring the Sacraments, and executing Ecclessifical censures; or such things as in their own nature are not so but such as the Prince bath savoured them with.

For the first fort of things: As to Praching and administring the boly Sacraments, the Arch-bishops, Bishops, &cc. (as such) never claimed to them, they were always allowed to be the work of Priests.

Priefts or Presbyers. Nor did our Arch-bift ps and Biftons ever concern themselves in preaching much, now and then possibly in the Chappel at White-ball, now and then at the Mother-Church of their See. Indeed to restrain and Suppress Preaching, Bishop Land, and Bilhop Pierce, and Bilhop Wren, and some others of their feather, have formerly pretended a great power, but that I take to be no Evangelical work.

Ordination and Jurifdillion therefore, are all, for which there is

any pretence of any necessity of such Officers.

As to these it would be enquired, 1. What the English Bishops doth in them. 2. Whether in order to their doing it, to great revenues be necessary. 2. Whether these things may not as lawfully as sufficiently, to as little charge, and as much sontent (to the lubicets

of England) be done without them.

1. The English Bishop hath used to ordain Deacons and Priefts (indeed it is an improper term, for a Priest correlates to a Sacrifice; and if we have a Prieft, we must have a Sacrifice properly so called, not a proper Priest and a figurative Sperifice.) But never apy Law or Custom of England in former times, allowed the Bishop to ordain the Priet, (at least alone) other Ministers were to joyn with him, (which indeed he chose.) Bishop Hacket would have the Dearrand Chapter for that use; whether they joyned with him authoritatively is a late question, but of no antiquity; to as the Bishop in this service did but (for order sake) prefide in that action, and it feems it might have been done Without him. And indeed who so denieth that, lays, the foundation of the most unheard of Schism in the world; (for if that a Bishop be (from any Law of God) necessary to make Ministers, no reformed Church but that of England hath any true Ministers, and confequently no children baptized, no Ordinances truly administred amongs them, nor can we have any communion with them; which it may be was the cause that our late English Exiles were to this of the Prosestant communion with the Reformed Churches as Charenton and other places in France. Besides, we had the experience of many years, that as many, and as able, holy men were (without Bishaps) made Ministers, as ever were in to few years made by them, whole lives, as well as Doltrine, witnessed as much for them in all sober mens judgments, as for those ordained by Bishops. 2. As

2. As to the Centered of the Church, thold properly to called and no other than Admonition, and the greater and liffer Extonement cation. For thefe it is pretended that a Bishop is necessary but let us confider what (according to the prefeit confitution) he doth in them. The Minifer of the place where the offender live eth, and the Church-wardens, or forme one or more of them duch deferre nomen , present the offender in the Court of where the Billion is feldom by never prefent.) ... For the most pare the Regifter or the Commiffere, of his Surrogate, unmoni Beeb him of the Commillary or Surrogate t if he will not comply with the admonition) decreeth him to be excommunicated ; a Writing is fent out, the Miritter of the place publisheth in the Billion all this while neither heareth the could hor modeth simila nor knows it is done, till they come to him to fignific the thing to the chill Courts. Can any one doubt whether thefe things may be done without him, which are thus done every day ?! Belides, that in all Reformed Churches, thele Ordinances are administred without a Bidoo, and doubtels nothing but the Law of England himdreth, but it may be to done in England or all Reformed Churches must be condemned for what they have done and do. So as indeed there is mo question but about those actions which according to no Divine Rule, frietly belong to Officers of a Gospel Church, but have by the favour of Princes been cornmitted to them to increase their inthority, grandens, riche, and dependenciel. And artoichelegual it bins enorge rail in abilia

1. As to their Jiring in Parkament, it belongs to that great Affembly to determine, whether that Grand Council could not confift, and affairs in it be as well managed without them. If indeed they must lit there, it seems reasonable they should have estates proportioned to so high and honourables appairing

2. As to the trial of Caules in their Gourts, either Teliandings or Marimonial, (which are the chief) or those relating to Main rimonial femalat, perfonal Taber, Offerings, Church durier, of it is a deliberation in for the Common Langer, whether these things might not be tried, and justice done upon them in his Mail jefties Civil Courts as quickly, and more cheaply, and with more farisfaction to his Majetties Rublects: we know what we have feen in our times.

3. For Licence, it may be questioned whether Ordination ought not to be a sufficient Licence to Preach, and administer Sacraments. Whether taking Degrees in Arts and Sciences, according to reason and true English liberty, ought not to be licence enough to teach such Arts or Sciences, and to practise them. Whether the Colledge of Physicians, and particular Doctors of Physick, were not in reason fitter persons to judge of the abilities of Practitioners in Physick, and to Midmives; (certainly their learning and studies direct them better in the case, than those whose study is Divinity.)

For the reviving of Presentations, and granting admissions upon them; surely those who may ordain (it the State please) may do these things; or we have in either University Heads enough, men of learning, and a good proportion of whom are always resident, who, if the State please, might dispatch all this work. In summe, there is certainly nothing done by these Officers, but, if his Majesty pleased, might be done by others, and at half the charge for the subject which they now are done, where Fees are grown to such a heighth, and delays protracted to such a length, as none that can avoid these Courts will have any thing to do with them.

But whether his Majesty and the Supreme Council will judge this expedient or no, must be humbly submitted to them, while it pleaseth them to continue Bishops in their present capacity, tertainly it is but reasonable they should have a maintenance proportionable to their great capacities and expenses; as the King judgent it just to allow his Judges a maintenance proportioned to their Honours and great employments. And thus, Sir, you have my opinion, as short as I could, upon the third Query in that Pamphlet.

For the two last Queries, they need not many words. As to the last, if the King of France were not what he is, nor where he is, it is certainly the great interest of the English State, and particularly of his Majesty, to take off all discouragements from his Subjects, (especially the Trading part of them) and to unite the Nation. The Kings interest lieth in the union of his Subjects, others interests may lie in dividing them, that one of the parties at oddes may be fuel for their fire, and a prey for their avarice,

and to maintain their luxury; but by all this the King gets nothing. And doubtless in order to this end, what ever may (without finning against God) ought to be remitted, changed, abated, or

taken away.

As to the fourth. It is most certain, that as other Reformed Churches who have no such Officers, so we (whiles we wanted them) had far more able, painful, learned Preachers than we have at this day, and five able Sermons for one we have now; not the tenth part of Pluralists, Non-residents, or lazie unpreaching Ministers, nor of vain and unprofitable Discourses in Pulpits, which we now have. Whether Nobles be affronted now or no, or Councils disturbed or no, I cannot say; I am sure they could not be, if there were none in such capacities to affront or to differ them.

So that all the buliness is, whether without them there might

not be as obedient and loyal a Clergy.

I conf is, the great pleaders for Episcopae, flick much upon this, and make the King and the Bistop, such Twins as must live and die together. But the crie of words fignifying little, but the want of good arguments. Let us consider how much the Crown of Erg'and is beholden to them, for their care to court it with the Rocker, and what truth there is in these affections. There are not many Protistant Kingdoms, yet I think Sweden, Poland and Denmark generally are so, neither have they Bistops, nor have had for many years: yet have they not a loyal Clerg. When were their Clergy in the head of any Rebellions? Is not that part of France which is not under Bistops, as loyal as those who are under them? Is England the only place where it cannot be?

Have the Bishops then been always loyal? I suppose they will not much boast of the loyalty of Bishops, from the Canquerors time till King Him? 8. If they do, they may easily be consuted. I know it will be said, they were therefore till then Popile. But this is no answer to those that know, that in those times there are instances of their deserting the Kings part; when the Pope adhered to him, and helped the King to revenge himself on them, as in Hony 2, time. Upon the Reformation, did they not show their loyalty, by taking an Oath to the Pope, for which they were all brought into a premarker? Indeed since that time there hath

hath been no occasions to try their loyalty much. In the late differences, it was no great argument for them to adhere to the King against a Parliament that had voted them out of their seats in Parliament, and determined their extirpation root and branch.

To make this business short, there's no great sear from the disloyalty of any fort of men, who have no great revenues nor dependencies. No great dangers of a Clergy (which hath but a livelihood for themselves and families) to prove disloyal, especially being obnoxious to the same Laws that other subjects are, in

cale of treason and sedition.

If there be any fear of the difloyalty of a Clergy, it must be such a one as hath great revenues, great interests and dependencies, great priviledges in Law, &c. Take away these things, and they become loyal in course, as to any thing of action. Let these things continue, it is not this or that order or notion will secure their loyalty.

But, Sir, I have done, and it is time; I fear I have tired you with a long discourse. You have my thoughts. If you tell me, A fools bolt is quickly shot: I patiently shall bear the censure, only

adding, That it may also sometimes hit the mark perchance.

FINIS.